2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

-	•	•	•	•
1	•	Ť		
1	ъ			

Wanted: As time permits For: Administration-Budget 6-7329 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO			Received By: nelsorp1 Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Walker Drafter: nelsorp1										
						May Co	ntact:				Alt. Drafters: Extra Copies:		
						Subject:	Admini	strative Law					
						D (T)						-1	
Pre To	pic:												
DOA:	Walker -												
Topic: Commit	tee to consider	the effects of re	egulations o	n businesses									
Instruc	tions:												
See Atta	ached												
 Draftin	g History:	·	· .										
Diuntill	g mistory.												
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required						
/1	nelsorp1 01/12/2001	wjackson 01/12/2001	kfollet 01/14/200	01	lrb_docadmin 01/14/2001								
FE Sent	For:												
	a .			<end></end>									

2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

FE Sent For:

Received: 01/12/2001	Received By: nelsorp1			
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Walker Drafter: nelsorp1			
For: Administration-Budget 6-7329				
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO				
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:			
Subject: Administrative Law	Extra Copies:			
Pre Topic:				
DOA:Walker -				
Topic:				
Committee to consider the effects of regulations on businesses				
Instructions: See Attached				
Drafting History:				
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed /? nelsorp1 / Wij 1/12 / Killing	Submitted Jacketed Required			

<END>

Nelson, Robert P.

From:

Walker, William

Sent:

Friday, January 12, 2001 10:52 AM

To:

Nelson, Robert P.

Subject:

FW: Drafting Request: Regulation Study Group

The Governor's office would like to have the group created in statute anyway for the public visibility.

Could you please draft?

Sorry.

----Original Message----

From:

Walker, William

Sent:

Thursday, January 11, 2001 5:29 PM

To:

Nelson, Robert P.

Subject:

FW: Drafting Request: Regulation Study Group

Governor's decision: proceed with the study group, not the larger regulatory reform package.

----Original Message----

From:

Walker, William

Sent:

Wednesday, January 10, 2001 4:34 PM

To: Cc: Nelson, Robert P. Currier, Dawn

Subject:

Drafting Request: Regulation Study Group

(Dawn: For Commerce: "Regulation Study Group.")

If the regulatory flexibility request is cancelled for whatever reason, we may want to do the following instead:

Goal: Develop a Governor's task force on regulatory flexibility.

Specific topics for the task force:

- How to require agencies to consider direct and indirect impacts of their regulations
- Possibility for judicial enforcement of regulatory flexibility act.
- Provisions for businesses to challenge a rule's regulatory flexibility analysis.
- Authority of the Joint Committee on Review of Administrative Rules to suspend or modify proposed or existing rules.
- Development of a no-fault audit program.
- Development of a compliance assistance program.
- Grace periods in which violations can be corrected without fines.
- Consideration of small business's ability to pay.
- · Development of payment plans for fines.

Bill Walker

Executive Policy and Budget Analyst Department of Administration (608) 266-7329



2

3

4

State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2023/1 RPN...:/....

DOA:.....Walker - Committee to consider the effects of regulations on businesses

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

DO NOT GEN

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Burcau COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

COMMERCE J

This bill creates a regulatory flexibility committee whose to members are appointed by the governor. The committee is required to issue a report, which may include recommendations for legislation, that will consider issues related to agency regulation of businesses, including requiring agencies to consider the direct and indirect impact of proposed rules, allowing businesses a grace period before to correct a violation and avoid being penalized, allowing installment payments of fines or forfeitures, and granting additional authority to the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules to suspend or modify existing or proposed rules.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 9110. Nonstatutory provisions; commerce.

(1) REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY. There is created a regulatory flexibility committee,

which shall consist of 10 members appointed by the governor. At least one member

$\left(\right)$	1	$\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$
•	$\overset{\smile}{2}$	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the of committee shall be appointed from a list of nominees submitted by the Wisconsin chapter of the National Federation of Independent Businesses. At least one member of the committee shall be appointed from a list of nominees submitted by Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce. The governor shall designate one of the members of the committee as the chairperson. The chairperson shall set the date for the first A majority of the committee constitute a quorum to do business. The committee members shall be reimbursed for their actual and necessary expenses incurred while performing their duties as committee members. The committee shall issue a report, which may include recommendations for legislation, to the governor and to the legislature for distribution to the appropriate standing committees in the manner provided in section 13.172 (3) of the statutes. The department of commerce shall provide staff support and any assistance necessary for the committee to complete its report. The committee shall cease to exist when the committee has submitted the report required under this section or on September 1, 2002, whichever occurs sooner. The committee shall include discussions of all of the following in its report: (a) How to require an agency to consider the direct and indirect impacts of rules

- (a) How to require an agency to consider the direct and indirect impacts of rules proposed by the agency.
- (b) Whether judicial enforcement of section 227.114 of the statutes is appropriate or sufficient.
- (c) What provisions are available or are needed to enable a business to challenge an agency's regulatory flexibility analysis prepared under section 227.19 (3) (e) of the statutes.

_ T	(d) What additional authority is appropriate and necessary for the Joint
2	Committee for Review of Administrative Rules to suspend or modify a proposed or
3	existing agency rule.
4	(e) What action needs to be taken by what agencies to develop a no-fault audit
5	program and a compliance assistance program.
6	(f) What grace periods are appropriate during which a business ear correct a
7	rule or statutory violation before being assessed a fine or forfeiture.
8	(g) Whether an agency should consider a small business's ability to pay when
9	assessing a fine or forfeiture against that business.
10	(h) What action needs to be taken by what agencies to develop a program that
$\widehat{1}\widehat{1}$	allows the payment of fines or forfeitures in installments.
12	(END)



2

State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2023/1 RPN:wlj:kjf

DOA:.....Walker - Committee to consider the effects of regulations on businesses

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

COMMERCE

This bill creates a regulatory flexibility committee whose ten members are appointed by the governor. The committee is required to issue a report, which may include recommendations for legislation, that will consider issues related to agency regulation of businesses, including requiring agencies to consider the direct and indirect impact of proposed rules; allowing businesses a grace period to correct a violation and avoid being penalized; allowing installment payments of fines or forfeitures; and granting additional authority to the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules to suspend or modify existing or proposed rules.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- Section 9110. Nonstatutory provisions; commerce.
- 3 (1) Regulatory flexibility. There is created a regulatory flexibility committee,
- 4 which shall consist of 10 members appointed by the governor. At least one member

5

of the committee shall be appointed from a list of nominees submitted by the
Wisconsin chapter of the National Federation of Independent Businesses. At least
one member of the committee shall be appointed from a list of nominees submitted
by Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce. The governor shall designate one of the
members of the committee as the chairperson. The chairperson shall set the date for
the first meeting. A majority of the committee constitutes a quorum to do business.
The committee members shall be reimbursed for their actual and necessary expenses
incurred while performing their duties as committee members. The committee shall
issue a report, which may include recommendations for legislation, to the governor
and to the legislature for distribution to the appropriate standing committees in the
manner provided in section 13.172 (3) of the statutes. The department of commerce
shall provide staff support and any assistance necessary for the committee to
complete its report. The committee shall cease to exist when the committee has
submitted the report required under this section or on September 1, 2002, whichever
occurs sooner. The committee shall include discussions of all of the following in its
report:

- (a) How to require an agency to consider the direct and indirect impacts of rules proposed by the agency.
- (b) Whether judicial enforcement of section 227.114 of the statutes is appropriate or sufficient.
- (c) What provisions are available or are needed to enable a business to challenge an agency's regulatory flexibility analysis prepared under section 227.19 (3) (e) of the statutes.

	(d) What additional authority is appropriate and necessary for the Joint
•	Committee for Review of Administrative Rules to suspend or modify a proposed or
E	xisting agency rule.
	(e) What action needs to be taken by what agencies to develop a no-fault audi
1	program and a compliance assistance program.
	(f) What grace periods are appropriate during which a business may correct a
1	ule or statutory violation before being assessed a fine or forfeiture.
	(g) Whether an agency should consider a small business's ability to pay when
	assessing a fine or forfeiture against that business.
	(h) What action needs to be taken, and by what agencies, to develop a program
1	hat allows a business to pay a fine or forfeiture in installments.

(END)